Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/088,451	KLEIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vinh T Luong	3682
All Participants:	Status of Application	on: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Vinh T Luong</u> .	(3)	
(2) Friedrich Kueffner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2004	Time: <u>about 1:00 Pl</u>	<u>M</u>
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative)   No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(b)		
Claims discussed:		·
Prior art documents discussed: Boom et al. (DE 196 17 038 A1)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to fthe interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The examiner will provide separate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Vinh T. Luong Primary Examiner		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendment to positively recite "a separate container" and "the container (13, 13', 13'') is received without play into a receptacle (16) of the base shell (11)" in claim 1. Such amendment would put the case in the condition for allowance. See reasons for allowance attached.